EVALUATION MATRIX STEP 1: RFQ

Project: City Lake Aquatic Center Improvements Solicitation: No. 2017-021 Design-Build Contract

Date: December 16, 2016

Respondents: RicoRock InControl

CRITERIA	RicoRock	InControl
#1: Prime Respondent's Demonstrated Capability and Financial Resources to Perform the Work	11	19.2
#2: Prime's Demonstrated Experience and Past Performance on Similar Projects	15.75	11.85
#3: Other members of the Team's Demonstrated Experience and Past Performance on Similar Projects	19.25	25
#4: Proposed Project Organization Chart for this Project	17.25	21.5
#5: Other Appropriate factors submitted in the proposal to the RFQ/RFP	8.5	7.1
#6: Certification that each architect or engineer on the team was selected based on demonstrated competence and qualifications in compliance with Section 2254.004 of the Texas Govt. Code	3.8	4.3
TOTAL SCORE based on weighted value	75.55 Satisifies Requirements	88.95 Exceeds Requirements
Scoring Scale for Phase 1 Does NOT completely satisfy requirements Satisfies Requirements and met expectations Exceeds Requirements Far Exceeds Requirements and expectations	0 to 40 41 to 80 81 to 120 121 to 160	
Both Respondents received scores of "Satisifes" or "Exceeds Requirements". The Evaluation Team recommended both be requested to submit proposals for Step 2: RFP		

EVALUATION MATRIX STEP 2: RFP

Project: City Lake Aquatic Center Improvements Solicitation: No. 2017-021 Design-Build Contract

Date: January 12, 2017

Respondents: RicoRock InControl

CRITERIA	RicoRock	InControl
#1: Team's experience with similar projects, technical		
competence, repution, past working experience with		
Mesquite	15	
#2: Pricing Factors: degree Contract Price is less than		
Price Limit. Costing methology, overhead costs.		
Proposed Profit. Pricing Line Item Sheet.	32.4	
#3: Understanding of Project and delivery strategy:		
compliance with requirements. Implementation		
method. Proposed satisifies scope and intention.	22	
Understanding of objectives.	23	
#4: Quality assurance/quality control: quality		
assurance program. Approach to correcting deficiencies. Approach to warranty items.	0.0	
Approach to warranty items.	9.9	
WE. Desires Delivery ask and leafunding for the little of delivery		
#5: Project Delivery scheudle: feasibility of delivery strategy. Compliance with deadlines. Other appropriate		
factors submitted in the proposal to the RFP.	16	
TOTAL SCORE based on weighted value	96.3	***
	Exceeds Requirements	ጥጥጥ
	Requirements	
Scoring Scale for Phase 1		
Does NOT completely satisfy requirements	0 to 40	
Satisfies Requirements and met expectations	41 to 80	
Exceeds Requirements	81 to 120	
Far Exceeds Requirements and expectations	121 to 160	
*** InControl did NOT provide a response due to		
current workload.		
RicoRock received a total score of "Exceeds		
INICUNULA TECEIVEU A LULAI SCUTE UT EXCEEUS		
Requirements". The Evaluation Team recommended we commence negotiations to clarify proposal details		